## Dr. Linda Cohen Sociology 1000 <br> Compiled statistics of 30 CEQs 2003-2018

Average response rate=53.31\%
Ratings, Q7: 1=poor; 2=fair; 3=good; 4= very good; 5=excellent
7. Overall, the quality of instruction was ...


Ratings, all but Q7: 1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neutral; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree

1. The requirements in the course were clear.

2. The instructor responded to students' questions effectively.
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3. The instructor treated students respectfully.

4. The instructor stimulated my interest in learning the subject matter of the course.

5. Useful feedback was provided on my work.
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Average response rate=53.31\%
Ratings, all but Q7: 1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neutral; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree
13. Overall the course was well organized.

6. The instructor communicated course concepts effectively (new CIAP question since 2012)

| s.disagree | Rating | Count | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q6 (new) > Soci } 2000 \text { by Cohen, } \\ & \text { 2013-2018 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| disagree | 2 | 13 | 5.6\% |  |  |  |  | 60.0\% |  |
| neutral | 3 | 16 | 6.9\% | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| agree | 4 | 89 | 38.4\% | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| s.agree | 5 | 109 | 47.0\% |  |  |  | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% |
|  | $N=$ | 232 |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Average | Means: | 4.27 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ${ }^{5}$ |

## 2015-2018: new questions added by CIAP

Ratings, all but Q7: 1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neutral; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree
8. The texts helped me learn course content.
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10. The assessments (tests, assignments, presentations) helped me learn course content.

11. The technology used (e.g., D2L, email, ... film) helped me learn course content.

12. The workload was appropriate to the course.


